Research on Literary and Art Development
2025, VOL. 6, NO. 5, 287-290
DOI: 10.47297/wsprolaadWSP2634-786562.20250605

Twin Spirits Across Classicism and Romanticism: The Dialectical Opposition and Unity of Beethoven and Rossini

Yimeng Chang

School of Arts, Peking University, Beijing, 100871 China

ABSTRACT
This study examines the dialectical relationship between Beethoven and Rossini as complementary forces shaping early nineteenth-century musical modernity. Amid Enlightenment and industrial change, aesthetics shifted from Classical order to Romantic expression. Beethoven deepened instrumental music into moral and structural reflection, while Rossini revitalized Italian opera with melodic vitality and theatrical energy. Framed by Dahlhaus's *Stildualismus*, their contrast embodies reason and sensuality, text and event. Yet both responded to shared modern conditions—new publics, markets, and criticism—turning difference into dialogue. Their stylistic fusion reveals that nineteenth-century music's vitality lay in unity within diversity, not opposition.

KEYWORDS
Beethoven; Rossini; Dialectical unity; Classicism; Romanticism

1 Introduction

From the late eighteenth to early nineteenth century, Europe's Enlightenment thought and industrialization reshaped culture and society. Aesthetics shifted from Classical balance to Romantic expression, as German Idealism affirmed music's autonomy and nationalism promoted "serious" art within public concert life. Amid this change, Beethoven and Rossini became contrasting yet complementary figures: Beethoven expanded Classical form into moral and structural depth, while Rossini revitalized Italian opera with melodic vitality and theatrical brilliance. Their dual prominence—hailed by Kiesewetter as "the age of Beethoven and Rossini" —was later theorized by Dahlhaus as *Stildualismus*, opposing instrumental reason to operatic sensuality. This study views their relation as a dialectic: two responses to shared modern conditions—new publics, markets, and critical discourse. Together they bridge Classicism and Romanticism, revealing how nineteenth-century music united intellect and emotion in shaping modern artistic identity.

2 The Dialectical Opposition between Beethoven and Rossini

2.1 German Instrumental Music and Italian Operatic Music

From the late eighteenth to the early nineteenth century, European music crystallized around two complementary yet contrasting spheres. In the German-Austrian world, instrumental music attained new intellectual and structural weight: the Classical legacy of Haydn and Mozart found synthesis and transformation in Beethoven, whose symphonies and sonatas fused formal clarity with emotional and philosophical ambition. In the operatic arena, Gluck's reform of opera seria— privileging dramatic truth over vocal display — prepared the ground for Rossini, whose works revitalized Italian opera through melodic fluency, rhythmic vitality, and a new unity of music and drama. By around 1800, this dual formation—instrumental music versus operatic music—defined the cultural map of European composition. Beethoven epitomized the rise of autonomous instrumental art; Rossini embodied opera's theatrical brilliance and cosmopolitan reach.

Signature examples illustrate their polarity. Beethoven's *Fifth Symphony* (1808) condensed struggle and transcendence into the memorable "fate-motive," while the *Moonlight* and *Appassionata* sonatas dramatized inward emotion through abstract design. Rossini's *Il barbiere di Siviglia* (1816) became the paradigmatic opera buffa, and the *Guillaume Tell* overture projected national and heroic fervor across Europe.[] The contrast mirrored deeper cultural archetypes: the German conception of music as an autonomous language of the spirit, shaped by Idealist aesthetics, versus the Italian view of music as the living partner of poetry and theatre, sustained by a tradition of lyrical immediacy and vocal beauty. Hence, the opposition between Beethoven and Rossini is not a mere stylistic accident but a manifestation of two historical logics —the structural introspection of absolute music and the sensuous expressivity of the operatic stage.

*** Corresponding Author:** Yimeng Chang, 18813087162@163.com

2.2 Rational Expression and Sensuous Exuberance

Beethoven and Rossini shared certain technical resources yet endowed them with contrasting meanings. The most vivid case is the crescendo. Rossini's famous device—built on repeated tonic – dominant oscillations accumulating orchestral mass—serves as a theatrical intensifier, heightening audience excitement through kinetic repetition, as in the finales of *Il barbiere di Siviglia* and *Guillaume Tell*. In Beethoven, a comparable process, such as the climactic buildup in the first movement of *the Eroica Symphony*, functions within a teleological architecture: dynamic expansion becomes the audible image of inner striving and transformation. Thus, the same technique occupies two semantic worlds—immediate spectacle in Rossini, symbolic process in Beethoven.

Their treatment of musical material likewise diverges. As Emanuele Senici observes, Rossini relies on reiteration and sequence to amplify affect and theatrical momentum, using repetition as a dramaturgical engine. Beethoven, conversely, practices motivic development, reshaping themes through rhythmic and harmonic metamorphosis to generate structural argument and philosophical resonance. Each method reflects its environment: the Italian stage prized collective immediacy and vocal virtuosity, while the German symphonic sphere valued intellectual coherence and "inner necessity." To equate one with superficial pleasure and the other with spiritual profundity, however, is to adopt a Germanocentric hierarchy long embedded in nineteenth-century criticism. As James Hepokoski has shown, Dahlhaus's formulation of *Stildualismus*—opposing instrumental "*text*" to operatic *"event*"—often perpetuates this evaluative asymmetry even while describing genuine cultural difference. Reconsidered dialectically, Beethoven's structuralism and Rossini's theatricality appear not as opposites but as complementary responses to the same modern pressures: a growing public sphere, new technologies of performance, and competing ideals of meaning and pleasure.

2.3 Artistic Pinnacle and Commercial Success

Nineteenth-century musical modernity is best understood as a tension between score-as-text and performance-as-event. Dahlhaus frames this polarity as constitutive of the century's aesthetics: Beethoven's works came to embody the autonomous *Text*—fixed, analyzable, and venerated as the repository of artistic truth—whereas Rossini's operas foreground the *Event*, the score functioning as a flexible matrix shaped by singers, staging, and audience energy. As Hepokoski cautions, this model can reproduce a hierarchy that privileges symphonic intellectualism over performative vitality. Yet its persistence reflects social reality: the rise of public concerts, music publishing, and conservatory pedagogy fostered text-centered listening, securing the score's authority while simultaneously redefining performance as interpretation rather than creation. Thus the Beethoven – Rossini divide maps not only contrasting temperaments but institutional transformations that rebalanced authorship and enactment.

The same dialectic appears in artists' roles as Beethoven's "calling" meets Rossini's "profession." Largely independent of court employment, Beethoven cast composition as moral and philosophical vocation; his image of the solitary creator tallied with Romantic ideals of genius and autonomy[] Rossini, by contrast, prospered in an increasingly industrialized opera economy, adapting scores to singers and theaters with pragmatic efficiency and unrivaled popular appeal. Rather than moral antitheses, these paths mark complementary adjustments to modern cultural economics: as patronage yielded to publishing and ticket revenue, opera industrialized first, and Rossini's international success—from Paris to St Petersburg—testified to the new mobility of art. Beethoven's reputation, built on printed scores and critical discourse, consolidated the intellectual prestige of instrumental music. Together they reveal the dual engines of nineteenth-century musical life—the sacralized work-concept and the commodified spectacle—interdependent expressions of art in an age of expanding publics.

3 The Dialectical Unity between Beethoven and Rossini

3.1 At the Turn of Eras: Pioneer of Romantic Spirit and the Last Classicist

Although periodization remains central to music historiography, the boundary between Classicism and Romanticism is porous. In the broad sweep of Western culture, Romanticism grew organically from Classical ideals rather than replacing them. The two styles form a continuum in which restraint and expression, order and freedom, coexist. As Friedrich Blume noted, "*Classicism and Romanticism form a unity in music history⋯two phases of the same historical period*." Carl Dahlhaus likewise described the early nineteenth century as an age of heterogeneous isomorphism—a creative overlap in which Classical form and Romantic subjectivity interpenetrated.[24] Beethoven and Rossini, standing at this crossroads, embody that dialectical unity: their works fuse balance with passion, clarity with individuality.

Rossini, often hailed as the foremost Italian of early Romanticism, paradoxically called himself "the last classicist." His self-assessment reveals a conscious fidelity to Classical poise even amid Romantic sentiment. Far from mere light entertainment or early retirement, his operas continued the Enlightenment ideal of art for the people. Il barbiere di

Siviglia celebrates wit and social equality; its lively ensembles and lucid form transform popular comedy into civic affirmation. The elegance of his *cantabile–cabaletta* design and the architectural balance of multi-section finales manifest a Classical sense of proportion within the effervescent world of opera buffa. Rossini thus preserved eighteenth-century clarity while injecting new energy, embodying the last flowering of Classical equilibrium within Romantic immediacy.

Beethoven, conversely, inherited Classical rigor yet pushed it toward Romantic expressivity. His music translates personal emotion and philosophical aspiration into formal argument—the individual confronting destiny. Works such as the *Moonlight Sonata* and *the Fifth Symphony* dramatize this inner struggle, while *the Eroica* elevates heroism into a moral ideal. *The Pastoral Symphony* integrates nature and contemplation, uniting music with poetic and pictorial imagery. By enlarging the sonata principle and infusing it with subjectivity, Beethoven bridged the Classical architecture of reason and the Romantic exploration of spirit. Rooted in the discipline of form yet animated by freedom, his oeuvre became the symbolic bridge between two eras and the foundation for nineteenth-century musical thought.

3.2 Stylistic Fusion: Beethoven's Italian Style and Rossini's German Style

During the 1820s, Romantic cosmopolitanism and rising national consciousness encouraged experiments in stylistic synthesis. Critics and composers sought to reconcile distinctive idioms within an increasingly international art world. The Italian Carlo Soliva attempted to blend German contrapuntal depth with Italian lyricism,[29] and the young Franz Liszt juxtaposed Beethoven's symphonic themes with Rossini's melodies in public concerts. Against this backdrop, Beethoven and Rossini became pivotal agents of intercultural fusion, each absorbing elements of the other's tradition.

Rossini's *Zelmira* exemplifies this dialogue. As Benjamin Walton observes, the opera's harmonic complexity and contrapuntal craft reveal a deliberate assimilation of German techniques, while its melodic radiance remains unmistakably Italian. The result is a hybrid sonority—Italian theatricality infused with German structural gravity. Its 1822 Vienna premiere, warmly received yet critically debated, challenged prevailing notions of "national style." Detractors lamented the loss of Italian spontaneity; admirers hailed a new cosmopolitan sound. Whatever the verdict, Zelmira demonstrated how stylistic borders could yield to artistic curiosity, foreshadowing later Romantic syntheses from Liszt to Wagner.

Beethoven's *Die Geschöpfe des Prometheus* (*The Creatures of Prometheus*) represents the inverse trajectory. Conceived in Vienna (1801) and later adapted for Milan (1813), the ballet reveals his responsiveness to Italian theatrical conventions.[32] The Promethean myth—the Enlightenment's emblem of creativity and revolt—allowed Beethoven to reinterpret artistic freedom within differing cultural climates. The Vienna version reflects rational optimism: Prometheus educates humankind through art and reason. The Milan revision, infused with lyrical warmth and dramatic pathos, mirrors Romantic notions of self-realization and emotional growth. Through this transformation Beethoven translated German symphonic logic into an Italianate language of gesture and affect, anticipating later integrations of drama and symphony.

Taken together, these ventures show that the supposed opposition between Beethoven and Rossini conceals a dynamic interplay of convergence and contrast. Beethoven occasionally embraced melodic suppleness and operatic expressivity, while Rossini experimented with symphonic density and motivic rigor. Each crossed the stylistic frontier of the other without abandoning national identity. Their mutual awareness transformed difference into dialogue: structure learned from lyricism, lyricism deepened by structure. In this reciprocity lies the essence of nineteenth-century stylistic pluralism—a dialectic of Classicism and Romanticism, intellect and sensuality, individuality and universality—that defined the modern musical imagination.

4 Conclusion

The dialectic between Beethoven and Rossini embodies the fundamental tension and synthesis that defined early nineteenth-century musical modernity. Far from being fixed opposites, they represent two complementary logics through which European music negotiated the transformation from Classical order to Romantic expressivity. Beethoven elevated instrumental form into a philosophical drama of selfhood and moral striving; Rossini revitalized opera as a living theatre of communal emotion and aesthetic pleasure. Their divergent idioms—structural introspection and sensuous immediacy—responded to the same historical forces: the rise of public concert life, the commercialization of art, and the growing autonomy of the composer. Each, in his own sphere, transformed inherited conventions into new paradigms of meaning. Together they reveal that nineteenth-century music's vitality lay not in the victory of one mode over another, but in their perpetual dialogue. The interplay of reason and passion, intellect and spectacle, remains the enduring legacy of the Beethoven–Rossini constellation—a unity of difference that continues to illuminate the aesthetic and social foundations of Western musical thought.

References

[1] Jorwal, K., S. Ghosh, and J. K. Sinha. "The Enlightenment: Impact on Religion." *Encyclopaedia of Religious Psychology and Behavior*, Springer, 2024,1–9.

[2] Barry, J., and M. Trinder. *The Industrial Revolution in Europe.* ERIH – European Reference Index for the Humanities and Social Sciences, 2014.

[3] Bowie, A. "The Music of German Idealism." In *The Impact of Idealism*, edited by Christoph Jamme and Ian Cooper, chap. 9. Cambridge University Press, 2013.

[4] Applegate, C. "How German Is It? Nationalism and the Idea of Serious Music in the Early Nineteenth Century." *19th-Century Music* 1998, 21(3): 274–296.

[5] Loges, N. "The Late Nineteenth-Century Concert As Public Musicology." In *The Routledge Companion to Applied Musicology*, Routledge,2023,12–28.

[6] Sisman, E. "Beethoven the Romantic: How E. T. A. Hoffmann Got It Right." *Journal of the History of Ideas* 2010, 7(1):11–33.

[7] Golding, R. "Bel Canto among the Barricades: Rossini, His Music, and History." *Popular Music History* 2021, 15(3):234-253.

[8] Kiesewetter, R. G. *Geschichte der europäisch-abendländischen oder unsrer heutigen Musik: Darstellung ihres Ursprungs, ihres Wachsthums und ihrer stufenweisen Entwickelung; von dem ersten Jahrhundert des Christenthums bis auf unsre Zeit*. Leipzig: Breitkopf & Härtel, 1834.

[9] Dahlhaus, C. *Nineteenth-Century Music*. Translated by J. Bradford Robinson. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1989.

[10] Weiss, P. "Operatic Reform in Vienna: Gluck and Calzabigi." In *Opera: A History in Documents*, Oxford University Press, 2002.

[11] Senici, E. "Introduction: Rossini's Operatic Operas." In *The Cambridge Companion to Rossini*, Cambridge University Press, 2004,1-17.

[12] Kirby, P. R. "Rossini's Overture to 'William Tell'." *Music & Letters* 1952(2):132-140.

[13] Peritz, J. G. "Italian Opera." In *The Oxford Handbook of Italian Literature*, edited by Stefano Jossa. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2025.

[14] Vande Moortele S. *From Rossini to Wagner: The Romantic Overture and Musical Form*. Cambridge University Press, 2017.

[15] Burnham, S. "Musical Reference: A Source of Meaning in the First Movement of Beethoven's '*Eroica*'." *Acta Musicologica* 79, no. 2 (2007): 103–142.

[16] Senici, E. "Rossinian Repetitions." In *The Invention of Beethoven and Rossini: Historiography, Analysis, Criticism*, edited by Nicholas Mathew and Benjamin Walton, 236–237. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2013.

[17] Taruskin, R. *The Oxford History of Western Music*, Vol. 3: The Nineteenth Century. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.

[18] Hepokoski, J. "Dahlhaus's Beethoven–Rossini Stildualismus: Lingering Legacies of the Text-Event Dichotomy." In *The Invention of Beethoven and Rossini: Historiography, Analysis, Criticism*, edited by Nicholas Mathew and Benjamin Walton. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2013.

[19] Burkholder, J. P., Grout D. J., and Palisca C. V. *A History of Western Music.* 10th ed. New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 2019, 454–457.

[20] Lockwood, L. *Beethoven: The Music and the Life.* New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 2003, 121–126.